# Spirocyclopropanation Reaction of para-Quinone Methides with Sulfonium Salts: The Synthesis of Spirocyclopropanyl para-Dienones

Xiang-Zhi Zhang,† Ji-Yuan Du,† Yu-Hua Deng,§ Wen-Dao Chu,† Xu Yan,† Ke-Yin Yu,† and  $\text{Chun-An Fan}^{*,\dagger,\ddagger,\ddagger}$ 

† State Key Laboratory [of](#page-7-0) Applied Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, 222 Tianshui Nanlu, Lanzhou 730000, China

‡ State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University, 38 Xueyuan Lu, Beijing 100191, China

§ State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [A novel DBU](#page-7-0)-mediated stereoselective spirocyclopropanation of para-quinone methides with sulfonium salts has been developed on the basis of the mode involving a 1,6 conjugate addition/intramolecular dearomatizing cyclization cascade. This reaction provides a mild and effective method for the assembly of synthetically and structurally interesting spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones. The feasibility for the enantioselective access to such functionalized para-dienones has also been explored by using the axially chiral sulfonium salt.



Importantly, the regioselective ring openings of the related spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones have been achieved divergently.

para-Quinone methides (p-QMs) as a class of quinonoid compounds, structurally featured by the unique bisvinylogous enone system, have already been documented for more than one century in organic chemistry.<sup>1</sup> Compared with the fact that the functionalized  $p$ -QM units exist in many natural products,<sup>2</sup> importantly, the transient  $p$ -QM [en](#page-7-0)tities formed in situ are also involved in many chemical, medicinal, and biologic[al](#page-7-0) processes.<sup>3,4</sup> Chemically, the reactivity of  $p$ -QMs is mostly attributable to the intrinsic electrophilicity, partially enhanced by the a[rom](#page-7-0)atization driving force. Notably during the past decades, the development of methodologies of p-QMs has received considerable attention, $5$  and the elegant pioneering works have led to three main reaction models for 1,6-conjugate additio[ns](#page-7-0),  $6,7$  [4 + 2]-annulations<sup>8</sup> and [3 + 2]-annulations<sup>9</sup> (Scheme 1). In connection with our recent interest in the chemistr[y of](#page-7-0)  $p$ -QMs<sup>6a</sup> and para-d[ie](#page-7-0)nones,<sup>10</sup> a novel model f[or](#page-7-0) the spirocyclopropanation of p-QMs with a one-carbon nucleophile bearing [a](#page-7-0) nucleofuge (leavi[ng](#page-7-0) group) could be envisaged, wherein the 1,6-conjugate addition/intramolecular dearomatizing cyclization cascade would proceed to yield the spirocyclopropanyl fused para-dienones A having a spiro[2.5] octa-4,7-dien-6-one skeleton. Synthetically, regarding the assembly of such a type of functionalized para-dienones, as shown in Scheme 2, some effort has been made and mainly includes (1) intramolecular dearomatizing cyclization of phenol-type  $precursors, <sup>11</sup> (2)$  intramolecular cyclization of substituted para-dienone precursors,<sup>12</sup> and  $(3)$   $[2 + 1]$ cycloaddition of para-q[uin](#page-8-0)one diazides with alkenes.

Given the appeal for the developme[nt o](#page-8-0)f methodologies of p- $QMs<sup>5</sup>$  as well as stimulated by the sulfonium che[mis](#page-8-0)try,  $14$  as Scheme 1. Methodologies on the Chemistry of para-Quinone Methides



shown in Scheme 3, we recently develop a novel spirocycloaddition reaction of p-QMs 1 with sulfonium salts  $2,15$  and this [methodolog](#page-1-0)y provides an access to synthetically and structurally interesting spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones 3. [Her](#page-8-0)ein, we present our preliminary results on this aspect.

Initially, our effort was conducted in the model reaction using p-QM 1a and ethyl dimethylsulfonium acetate bromide

Received: November 30, 2015 Published: February 18, 2016

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Scheme 2. Known Methods for the Synthesis of Spirocyclopropanyl para-Dienones



Scheme 3. Spirocyclopropanation Reaction of para-Quinone Methides with Sulfonium Salts



2a. Considering the requirement for the in situ generation of nucleophilic species via deprotonation of the active methylene proton, as tabulated in Table 1, a series of inorganic bases (e.g., hydroxides, carbonates, the phosphate) and organic ones (e.g., the alkoxide, fused bicyclic amidine, cyclic amine, acyclic amine, guanidine) were first evaluated in  $CH_2Cl_2$  as solvent at room temperature (entries 1−12). Among the inorganic bases screened in this model (entries 1−7), interestingly, it was found that the increasing size of the inorganic cation or anion could give a positive impact to the reaction yield. For example, compared with LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (9% yield, entry 1) and NaOH (62% yield, entry 2), KOH (entry 3) as base could afford 3aa in 76% yield. In comparison with the cases using  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  (12%) yield, entry 4) and  $K_2CO_3$  (33% yield, entry 5), the reaction with  $Cs_2CO_3$  (entry 6) gave 3aa in 65% yield. Analogously, when employing the bases with the same inorganic cation such as  $K_2CO_3$  (33% yield, entry 5) and  $K_3PO_4.3H_2O$  (66% yield, entry 7), the base having a larger-size anion gave a better reaction yield. As for the organic bases evaluated (entries 8− 12), generally the yields were improved by the increase of basicity. For example, the model reactions using t-BuONa (entry 8), DBU (entry 9), or TMG (entry 12) as a base could deliver the desired product 3aa in more than 70% yield, wherein the fused bicyclic amidine DBU demonstrated higher efficiency (3.5 h, 76% yield, >20:1 dr). The trans configuration of spirocyclopropanyl para-dienone 3aa was unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>16</sup> Following this promising screening result, various solvents were also examined (entries 13−20). Except for THF (entry 19) [an](#page-8-0)d DMSO (entry 20), most of solvents are compatible with the current spirocyclopropanation transformation, giving 3aa in good yields of 72−97% (entries 9, 13−18). In terms of yield and time,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  as reaction media (entry 16) could give a better yield of 3aa (97% yield). Further investigation on the influence of amounts of DBU (entries 21−23) revealed that the optimal result (3.5 h, 99% yield, >20:1 dr) could be achieved using 1.5 equiv of DBU as base in  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  as solvent at room temperature (entry 22).

Table 1. Reaction Conditions Optimization<sup> $a,b$ </sup>

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ 



<sup>a</sup> Performed with p-QM 1a (0.1 mmol) and sulfonium salt 2a (0.14 mmol) in the presence of base (0.4−0.1 mmol) in solvent (2 mL) at  $25^{\circ}$ C.  $^{b}$ Determined by crude NMR. <sup>c</sup>Yield of isolated product.  $^{d}$ The dr value not determined. DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DABCO = 1,4-diazabicyclo<sup>[2.2.2]</sup>octane, TMG = 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine.

With the above optimized conditions, as tabulated in Table 2, the scope of para-quinone methides was explored in this spirocyclopropanation reaction. Generally, the reacti[on gave](#page-2-0) good to excellent yields (up to 99% yield) and high diastereoselectivities ( $>20:1$  dr) for a broad range of  $p$ -QMs. Compared with the model acceptor 1a, the electronic deficiency of the aromatic R<sup>c</sup> group at the  $\delta$  position of p-QMs (e.g., 1c−1g, 1k, and 1n) could facilitate this reaction with decreased reaction time  $(\leq 3.5 \text{ h})$ , giving the products 3ca−3ga, 3ka, and 3na in 85−99% yields. Notably, the spirocyclopropanation using p-QM 1n with the heteroaryl group at the  $\delta$  position ( $R^c = 2$ -pyridinyl) proceeded very quickly (5 min) to deliver the corresponding dienone 3na (>20:1 dr) in 96% yield. In contrast to the above cases, the prolonged reaction time  $(>3.5 \text{ h})$ , which was required for the formation of the desired products 3ha−3ja, 3la, 3ba, and 3ma (66–97% yields), was usually observed when p-QMs with an  $R^c$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2. Spirocyclopropanation Reaction with Various para-Quinone Methides<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Performd with p-QMs 1a−1b (0.2 mmol) and sulfonium salt 2a (0.28 mmol) in the presence of DBU (0.3 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 mL) at 25 °C. The yields refer to the isolated products, and the dr's were determined by crude NMR.

group having the electronic donating substituents on the aromatic ring (e.g., 1h−1j and 1l) or containing steric ortho substituents on the aromatic ring (e.g.,  $1b$  and  $1m$ ) were subjected to this reaction. In addition, two  $p$ -QM substrates (1o and 1p) bearing the electron-donating alkyl groups at the  $\delta$ position  $(R^c = Me$  and t-Bu) were also investigated, and pleasingly the products 3oa and 3pa could be isolated in almost quantitative yields. It should be noted that the prolonged reaction time of 72 h required for the case of 3pa mainly resulted from the unfavorable steric effect of the bulky t-Bu group substituted at the electrophilic position in 1p. Furthermore, three p-QMs (1q−1s) with substituents at the  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ - or  $\alpha$ , $\alpha'$ -position were subjected to the standard conditions, and interestingly, the desired spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones 3qa−3sa were obtained with high diastereoselectivities in 80− 96% yields. Notably, these reactions with the enhanced reactivity were completed within 15 min, clearly indicating the positive influence of the less bulky substituent (1q and 1r) and the group with  $\alpha$ -silicon effect (1s) at the  $\alpha, \alpha'$ -position of p-QMs. Considering the introduction of unsymmetric  $\alpha, \alpha'$ substituents in p-QM 1q, the stereochemistry of product 3qa was eventually confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.

As another aspect of the methodology, the influence of sulfonium salts to this spirocyclopropanation reaction was a[lso](#page-8-0) preliminarily tested. As shown in Scheme 4, a series of alkyl, benzyl, and phenyl ester sulfonium salts (2a−2e) were

# Scheme 4. Spirocyclopropanation Reaction with Various Sulfonium Salts



examined under the controlled conditions, and pleasingly, there was no obvious effect on the reaction yields of the spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones 3aa−3ae (93−99% yields). In contrast to the stable sulfonium bromides 2a−2d, it should be noted that the perchlorate, instead of bromide, as a counteranion for the phenyl ester sulfonium salt 2e was essential to its chemical stability.<sup>17</sup> In addition, when using the ketone sulfonium salts 2f and 2g, the decreased reaction efficiency was observed, giving t[he](#page-8-0) corresponding products 3af and 3ag in 65% and 88% yield, respectively.

In order to have an insight into the stereoselectivity observed in this spirocyclopropanation reaction, a plausible model was proposed on the basis of the trans configuration asssigment for  $\frac{1}{3}$ aa by X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>16</sup> As shown in Scheme 5,





the nucleophilic 1,6-addition of p-QMs 1a−1s with sulfonium salts 2a−2g took place first in the presence of DBU, delivering the zwitterionic species B and C. Because of the unfavorable steric interaction in B, the desired spirocyclopropanation products were predominantly generated through the intramolecular  $S_N^2$  nucleophilic substitution of the intermediate C,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>wherein a retro-conjugate addition process or an epimerization sequence might be involved in the consumption of thermodynamically unstable B.

To further probe the feasibility to access the asymmetric synthesis of spirocyclopropanyl para-dienones, $18$  the employment of a chiral BINOL-derived sulfonium perchlorate, (S) BINS-2f, developed by Xiao<sup>19</sup> was considered [at](#page-8-0) this stage. By varying the reaction temperature, as shown in Scheme 6, the

#### Scheme 6. Asymmetric Spirocyclopropanation Reaction



asymmetric spirocyclopropanation reaction of  $p$ -QM 1a with (S)-BINS-2f at 0 °C delivered the chiral product (−)-3af in 73% yield with the optimal enantioselectivity of 81% ee. $^{20}$  The current preliminary investigation has shown a possibility of stereocontrol in this spirocyclopropanation reaction of p[-Q](#page-8-0)Ms with C2-symmetric axially chiral auxiliary containing sulfonium salts.

Considering the ring strain release of the cyclopropanyl system and the aromatization of the cyclohexadienone (paradienone) moiety, the synthetic potential of this methodology was further explored.<sup>21</sup> As demonstrated in Scheme 7, a series of ring-opening reactions of spirocyclopropanyl para-dienone **3aa** as a model wer[e p](#page-8-0)ursed. First, the Lewis acid  $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ catalyzed cyclopropane openings were conducted in the presence of various heteroatom nucleophiles (e.g., MeOH, PhOH, PhSH, NaN<sub>3</sub>, PhNH<sub>2</sub>) as well as the carbon nucleophiles (e.g., substituted indole). Significantly, such a type of highly stereoselective ring-opening reactions proceeded readily to give the  $S_N$ 2-type products 4a–4f in good to high yields (85−95% yields), wherein the C2−C3 bond cleavage in 3aa took place in a stereocontrolled manner. The corresponding stereochemistry inversion was clearly confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 4a. <sup>16</sup> Besides, it is worthy to note that 3aa could undergo a mild water-involving stereoselective ring opening promoted by si[lica](#page-8-0) gel in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to afford  $\beta$ hydroxy ester product 4g (95% yield), and its configuration was

analogously determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>16</sup> In addition to the above C2−C3 heterolytic bond dissociation, another mode involving the C1−C3 bond cleavage in 3aa [w](#page-8-0)as also demonstrated by  $SmI<sub>2</sub>$ -mediated reduction, leading to the reductive ring-opening product 5a in a moderate yield of 48%.

In conclusion, stimulated by the methodology design in the chemistry of para-quinone methides, a novel base-promoted spirocyclopropanation reaction of para-quinone methides with sulfonium salts was developed, in which a 1,6-conjugate addition/intramolecular dearomatizing cyclization cascade was involved. This methodology provided a useful approach in a one-pot manner to the effective synthesis of various spirocyclopropanyl fused para-dienones. Importantly, the asymmetric synthesis of such functionalized para-dienones has been preliminarily probed by using the axially chiral BINOL-derived C2-symmetric sulfonium salt. Besides, to demonstrate the synthetic potential of this methodology, two modes for the selective cleavage of C2−C3 and C1−C3 bonds in the related spirocyclopropanyl fused para-dienones were divergently accessed, leading to the development of several regioselective ring-opening reactions, especially with oxygen, sulfur, nitrogen, and carbon nucleophiles. Our present spirocyclopropanation methodology not only provides an alternative pathway to the synthetically interesting, functionalized para-dienones but also enriches the para-quinone methide chemistry in organic synthesis.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. Unless otherwise noted, all moisture- or oxygen-sensitive reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere in oven- or heat-dried flasks. All solvents were purified and dried prior to use according to the literature.<sup>22</sup> All other commercial reagents were used as received without further purification unless otherwise stated. All reactions were monitored [by](#page-8-0) thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel  $F_{254}$  plates using UV light as visualizing agent, and a solution of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (50 g/L) in EtOH, followed by heating as developing agents. The products were purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (200−300 meshes). <sup>1</sup> H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded in  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  or acetone- $d<sub>6</sub>$  solution at 400 MHz. Chemical shifts were denoted in ppm  $(\delta)$ , and calibrated by using residual undeuterated solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub> (7.27 ppm), acetone- $d_6$  (2.05 ppm), or tetramethylsilane  $(0.00$  ppm)) as internal reference for  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR and the deuterated solvent  $\rm (CDCl_{3}$   $\rm (77.00\ ppm)$ , acetone- $d_{6}$   $\rm (29.84\ ppm)$ , or tetramethylsilane  $(0.00\ \text{ppm})$  as internal standard for  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR. Multiplicities are indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and m (multiplet). The MS data were obtained with the ESI





technique, and the relative intensity (%) is given in brackets. Highresolution mass spectral analysis (HRMS) data were obtained using an Orbitrap instrument equipped with an ESI source. The IR spectra were recorded by means of the ATR technique.

General Procedure for the Preparation of para-Quinone Methides. In a Dean−Stark apparatus, a solution of phenols (25.0 mmol) and the corresponding aldehydes (25.0 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) was heated to reflux. Piperidine (50.0 mmol, 4.94 mL) was dropwise added over 1 h. The reaction mixture was continued to reflux for 3 h. After cooling just below the boiling point of the reaction mixture, acetic anhydride (50.0 mmol, 2.55g) was added, and stirring was continued for 15 min. Then, the reaction mixture was poured on ice−water (500 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 × 200 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent of the filtrate was removed under reduced pressure. The crude products were purified by flash column chromatography and further recrystallized from n-hexane, affording the desired p-QMs. 1a−1s were prepared according to the reported literature procedures.<sup>64</sup>

General Procedure for the Preparation of Sulfonium Bromides. Dimethyl sulfide (55 mmol) was added to [a s](#page-7-0)olution of 2-bromoacetates (50 mmol) in acetone (20 mL). After the mixture was stirred for 12 h, the residue was filtered and washed with acetone and dried in vacuo to give the related sulfonium bromides. 2a-2d<sup>14g</sup> and  $2f-2g^{23}$  were prepared according to the reported literature procedures.

Genera[l](#page-8-0) Procedure for the Preparation of Sulfoni[um](#page-8-0) Perchlorate 2e. Phenyl 2-bromoacetate (4.6 mmol), dimethyl sulfide (5.1 mmol), and sodium perchlorate monohydrate (9.2 mmol) were stirred in acetone at room temperature for 24 h. The resultant precipitate was then collected by filtration, washed with acetone, and dried in vacuo to give the sulfonium perchlorate 2e.

Phenoxycarbonylmethyl Dimethylsulfonium Perchlorate (2e). White solid, 1.3 g, 96% yield, mp 133–136 ° C; <sup>1</sup>H **NMR** (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  = 7.45 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.95 (s, 2H), 3.22 ppm (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C **NMR** (100 MHz, acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  = 164.5, 151.0, 130.4, 127.4, 122.3, 46.0, 25.4 ppm; IR  $\overline{U}$  = 3396, 1924, 1751, 1427, 1120, 1082, 940, 629 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{10}H_{13}O_2S_1]^+$  197.0631; found 197.0632.

General Procedure for the Spirocyclopropanation Reaction of *para*-Quinone Methides with Sulfonium Salts. To a solution of para-quinone methides 1 (0.2 mmol) and sulfonium salts 2 (0.28 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4.0 mL) was added DBU (0.3 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at 25 °C for the indicated time. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Note: silica gel was neutralized by triethylamine before use) eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, giving the spirocyclopropanyl paradienones 3.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1 carboxylate (3aa). White solid: 75.3 mg, 99% yield, mp 136−137 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.35–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.20  $(m, 2H)$ , 3.71 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (s, 9H) 1.07 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.7, 169.5, 149.4, 149.3, 138.8, 137.6, 134.7, 128.8, 128.5, 127.6, 61.5, 40.0, 37.9, 36.3, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1727, 1647, 1455, 1282, 1184, 1038, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For X-ray crystallographic analysis of 3aa, see the Supporting Information.

Ethyl 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-5,7-di-tert-butyl-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ba). White solid: 88.9 mg, 97% yield; mp 133–135 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  [= 7.56 \(d,](#page-7-0) J = 7.2 Hz, 1H),  $7.33 - 7.25$  (m, 2H),  $7.17$  (td,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.93  $(d, J = 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.59 (d, J = 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.34-4.22 (m, 2\text{H}), 3.63$  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.02 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H),$ 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.05 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.6, 169.3, 150.0, 149.9, 138.0, 137.3, 135.2, 132.9, 129.9, 129.2, 127.2, 126.4, 61.6, 40.9, 37.9, 36.5, 35.2, 34.9, 29.4, 29.0, 14.3 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2956, 1729, 1623, 1459, 1292, 1184, 1032, 744 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{31}BrO_3 + Na]^+$  481.1349; found 481.1341.

Ethyl 2-(3-Bromophenyl)-5,7-di-tert-butyl-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ca). White solid: 87.5 mg, 95% yield; mp 130−132 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H) 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.21 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 6.84  $(d, J = 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 5.75 (d, 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 4.35–4.19 (m, 2\text{ H}), 3.64 (d,$  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.00 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.09 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 185.6$ , 169.2, 149.74, 149.71, 138.2, 137.2, 137.1, 132.0, 130.8, 130.0, 127.6, 122.6, 61.7, 39.2, 37.6, 36.2, 35.3, 35.1, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1718, 1623, 1458, 1183, 1092, 884, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): *m*/ z calcd for  $[C_2,H_{31}BrO_3 + Na]^+$  481.1349; found 481.1341.

Ethyl 2-(4-Bromophenyl)-5,7-di-tert-butyl-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3da). White solid: 89.5 mg, 98% yield; mp 146−148 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.46 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J  $= 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.20 (m, 2H), 3.63 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (d, J  $= 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1,08 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.6, 169.3, 149.7, 149.6, 138.2, 137.3, 133.9, 131.7, 130.6, 121.7, 61.7, 39.3, 37.7, 36.3, 35.3, 35.1, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U} = 2957, 1727, 1622, 1490, 1182,$ 1012, 915, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for [C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>31</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub> + Na]<sup>+</sup> 481.1349; found 481.1342.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ea). White solid: 82.1 mg, 99% yield; mp 139−141 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.31 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31−4.20 (m, 2H), 3.65 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.08 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.6, 169.3, 149.7, 149.6, 138.2, 137.3, 133.6, 133.4, 130.2, 128.7, 61.7, 39.2, 37.7, 36.3, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1729, 1622, 1459, 1183, 1092, 741, 510 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{31}ClO_3 + H]^+$  415.2034; found 415.2030.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3fa). White solid: 74.8 mg, 94% yield; mp 125−127 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.22−7.18 (m, 2H) 7.05−7.01 (m, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 4.31- 4.20 (m, 2 H), 3.66 (d, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 9 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.08 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  δ = 185.7, 169.4, 163.4, 160.9, 149.6, 149.5, 138.5, 137.4, 130.63, 130.60, 130.53, 130.45, 115.6, 115.4, 61.7, 39.2, 37.7, 36.5, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2958, 1728, 1623, 1514, 1183, 915, 741, 539 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{31}FO_3 +$ H]<sup>+</sup> 399.2330; found 399.2324.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7 diene-1-carboxylate (3ga). White solid: 73.0 mg, 85% yield; mp 169−171 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 8.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz, 1H) 5.70 (d,  $J = 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.32–4.25 (m, 2H), 3.75 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.12 d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.07 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.4, 168.8, 150.3, 150.1, 147.3, 142.4, 137.2, 136.6, 129.8, 123.7, 61.9, 39.0, 37.8, 36.1, 35.3, 35.1, 29.3, 29.0, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2958, 1727, 1523, 1347, 1184, 993, 741, 505 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{31}NO_5 + H]^+$  426.2275; found 426.2269.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)spiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ha). White solid: 74.8 mg, 95% yield; mp 154−157  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.14–7.08 (m, 4H), 6.88 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H) 5.81 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.19 (m, 2H), 3.67 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.08 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ = 185.8, 169.6, 149.24, 149.20, 139.0, 137.8, 137.3, 131.6, 129.2, 128.7, 61.5, 39.9, 38.0, 36.5, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.1, 21.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1728, 1622, 1457, 1517, 1287, 1181, 740 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{26}H_{34}O_3 + H]^+$  395.2581; found 395.2574.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ia). White solid: 69.0 mg, 84% yield; mp 150−153 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87−6.84 (m, 3H), 5.81 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30−4.19 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H),

1.34 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.08 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta = 185.8, 169.6, 159.0, 149.3, 139.0, 137.8, 129.9,$ 126.8, 113.9, 61.6, 55.3, 39.6, 38.1, 36.7, 35.2, 35.0, 29.4, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1727, 1620, 1517, 1252, 1181, 1037, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{26}H_{34}O_4 + H]^+$  411.2530; found 411.2524.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5] octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ja). White solid: 74.5 mg, 84% yield; mp 139−141 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 6.87− 6.78 (m, 3H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 5.85 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (d, J  $= 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.35 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.09 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.7, 169.5, 149.3, 149.2, 148.9, 148.5, 138.9, 137.7, 127.2, 120.9, 112.0, 110.9, 61.6, 55.9, 55.8, 39.9, 38.0, 36.8, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1727, 1621, 1462, 1254, 1182, 1028, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for  $[C_{27}H_{36}O_5 + H]^+$  441.2636; found 441.2628.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-6-oxospiro[2.5] octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ka). White solid: 83.8 mg, 93% yield; mp 161−164 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.61 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.10 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ = 185.6, 169.1, 150.1, 149.9, 137.7, 136.9, 135.2, 132.7, 131.9, 130.9, 130.5, 128.3, 61.8, 38.5, 37.5, 36.3, 35.3, 35.1, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2951, 1729, 1624, 1476, 1255, 1185, 883, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{30}Cl_2O_3 + Na]^+$  471.1464; found 471.1454.

Ethyl 2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-5,7-di-tert-butyl-6-oxospiro- [2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3la). White solid: 56.0 mg, 66% yield; mp 130−132 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 6.84 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.69−6.67 (m, 2H), 5.97 (s, 2H), 5.82 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30−4.19 (m, 2H), 3.62 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.10 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.8, 169.5, 149.39, 149.35, 147.8, 147.1, 138.8, 137.6, 128.5, 122.0, 109.3, 108.2, 101.2, 61.6, 39.9, 38.1, 36.7, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.2, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2955, 1727, 1621, 1454, 1251, 1177, 1038, 932, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{26}H_{32}O_5 + H]^+$  425.2323; found 425.2317.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ma). Light yellow solid: 83.5 mg, 97% yield; mp 141−143 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.83−7.76 (m, 2 H), 7.49−7.38 (m, 4H), 7.38−7.34 (m, 1H) 7.11 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.38−4.26 (m, 2H), 3.97 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.38 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H), 1.36 (s, 9H), 0.75 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.4, 169.7, 150.4, 149.6, 139.0, 137.2, 133.4, 132.7, 132.0, 128.5, 128.4, 126.4, 126.2, 124.9, 123.8, 61.7, 38.4, 37.9, 36.8, 35.4, 34.6, 29.5, 28.6, 14.3 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2956, 1728, 1622, 1459, 1387, 1255, 1176, 779 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{29}H_{34}O_3 + K]^+$  469.2140; found 469.2132.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-(pyridin-2-yl)spiro[2.5]octa-4,7 diene-1-carboxylate (3na). White solid: 73.2 mg, 96% yield; mp 116−119 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 8.57 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (td, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19−7.16  $(m, 1H)$ , 6.87 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.10  $(m, 2H)$ , 3.73  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 3.66  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 1.31  $(t, J =$ 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.10 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.8, 169.6, 154.2, 149.50, 149.3, 148.9, 137.50, 137.1, 136.3, 124.8, 122.1, 61.50, 40.9, 39.50, 35.9, 35.2, 35.1, 29.3, 29.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1723, 1620, 1475, 1190, 993, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{24}H_{31}NO_3 + H]^+$  382.2377; found 382.2373.

Ethyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-2-methyl-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1 carboxylate (3oa). Light yellow solid: 62.3 mg, 98% yield; mp 123− 125 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 6.69 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.21–4.09 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.35 (m, 2H), 1.35  $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H})$ , 1.27–1.23 (m, 12H), 1.20 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta = 185.8, 169.9, 150.0, 148.7, 139.0, 137.9, 61.3,$ 39.9, 36.9, 35.2, 35.1, 31.6, 29.34, 29.26, 14.2, 13.8 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957,

1726, 1621, 1458, 1298, 1182, 1054, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for  $[C_{20}H_{30}O_3 + Na]^+$  341.2087; found 341.2080.

Ethyl 2,5,7-Tri-tert-butyl-6-oxospiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (**3pa**). White solid: 71.2 mg, 99% yield; mp 98−100 °C; <sup>1</sup>H **NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 6.70 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H),  $4.25-4.13$  (m, 2H), 2.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 9H), 1.24 (s, 9H), 1.04 ppm  $(s, 9H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 186.1, 170.1, 148.7, 148.3, 139.5, 137.8, 61.3, 48.7, 38.5, 36.6, 35.2, 35.0, 31.5, 29.8, 29.30, 29.25, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2958, 1728, 1622, 1460, 1255, 1180, 883, 675 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{36}O_3 + Na]^+$  383.2557; found 383.2553.

Ethyl 4′-Oxo-3-phenyl-4′H-spiro[cyclopropane-1,1′-naphthalene]-2-carboxylate (3qa). White solid: 60.5 mg, 95% yield, mp 90−91 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 8.31−8.29 (m, 1H), 7.61−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.50−7.46 (m, 1H), 7.39−7.28 (m, 6H), 6.50 (d, J  $= 10.4$  Hz, 1H), 6.26 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13−4.00 (m, 2H), 3.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.06 ppm (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 184.6, 167.2, 149.0, 139.0, 134.8, 133.5, 131.8, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.9, 127.23, 127.22, 123.9, 61.6, 39.7, 39.0, 36.8, 14.0 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2982, 1728, 1656, 1461, 1303, 1190, 985, 764 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . For X-ray crystallographic analysis of 3qa, see the Supporting Information.

Ethyl 5,7-Dimethyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1 carboxylate (3ra). Colorless oil: 47.4 mg, 80% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.36–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 5.93 (s, 1H), 4.31−4.18 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.33 ppm (t,  $J =$ 7.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 186.6, 169.4, 142.0, 141.3, 137.6, 137.4, 134.5, 128.73, 128.67, 127.8, 61.7, 40.0, 38.4, 35.8, 16.5, 16.3, 14.1 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2923, 1726, 1625, 1449, 1279, 1181, 911, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{19}H_{20}O_3 + Na]^+$  319.1305; found 319.1299.

Ethyl 6-Oxo-2-phenyl-5,7-bis(trimethylsilyl)spiro[2.5]octa-4,7 diene-1-carboxylate (3sa). White solid: 80.0 mg, 96% yield; mp 114−116 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.36−7.29 (m, 3H), 7.26−7.23 (m, 3H), 6.17 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.30−4.22 (m, 2H), 3.80  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.18 (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}),$ 0.23 (s, 9H), 0.02 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 191.7, 169.1, 154.0, 152.9, 143.8, 143.7, 134.2, 128.7, 128.6, 127.8, 61.8, 41.0, 39.2, 37.2, 14.2,  $-1.5$ ,  $-1.7$  ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2954, 1728, 1613, 1449, 1278, 1185, 861, 699, 613 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{32}O_3 S_{12} + Na]^+$  435.1782; found 435.1773.

Methyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ab). Light yellow solid: 72.0 mg, 98% yield; mp 134− 136 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.35–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.21  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ , 6.90  $(d, J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 5.78  $(d, J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.72 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.07 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.7, 170.0, 149.3, 138.7, 137.6, 134.6, 128.8, 128.5, 127.7, 52.5, 40.1, 37.9, 36.0, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.0 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2924, 1722, 1611, 1259, 1071, 1039, 743, 488 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{24}H_{30}O_3 + Na]^+$ 389.2087; found 389.2083.

tert-Butyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7 diene-1-carboxylate (3ac). White solid: 80.5 mg, 98% yield; mp 174−177 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.34−7.24 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 1.07 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.8, 168.4, 149.3, 149.1, 139.1, 137.8, 134.9, 128.8, 128.4, 127.5, 82.1, 39.7, 37.8, 37.4, 35.2, 35.0, 29.4, 29.1, 28.0 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2923, 1707, 1619, 1459, 1369, 1255, 1152, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for  $[C_{27}H_{36}O_3 + Na]^+$  431.2557; found 431.2549.

Benzyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ad). White solid: 88.2 mg, 99% yield; mp 108−111  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.39–7.24 (m, 8H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.23  $(d, J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 3.74 (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 3.13 (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}),$ 1.25 (s, 9H), 1.06 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.6, 169.4, 149.4, 149.3, 138.7, 137.4, 135.2, 134.6, 128.8, 128.7,

128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 67.4, 40.1, 38.0, 36.2, 35.2, 35.0, 29.3, 29.0 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2955, 1730, 1623, 1457, 1281, 1164, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{30}H_{34}O_3 + Na]^+$  465.2400; found 465.2391.

Phenyl 5,7-Di-tert-butyl-6-oxo-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-diene-1-carboxylate (3ae). White solid: 80.0 mg, 93% yield; mp 151−154  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.44–7.25 (m, 8H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.82  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 3.30  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 1.29  $(s, 9H)$ , 1.10 ppm  $(s, 9H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 185.7, 168.4, 150.5, 149.9, 149.7, 138.4, 137.1, 134.4, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 127.8, 126.2, 121.3, 40.3, 38.4, 36.1, 35.3, 35.1, 29.4, 29.1 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1739, 1621, 1457, 1195, 1138, 964, 744 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for  $[C_{29}H_{32}O_3 + Na]^+$  451.2244; found 451.2233.

1-Benzoyl-5,7-di-tert-butyl-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-dien-6 one (3af). White solid: 53.7 mg, 65% yield; mp 167–169 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.87 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.26 (m, 5H), 6.62 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J  $= 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.16 (s, 9H), 1.14 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 194.6, 185.6, 150.1, 149.8, 138.5, 137.53, 137.49, 135.2, 133.5, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.2, 127.7, 40.8, 40.4, 40.0, 35.1, 29.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2956, 1648, 1451, 1367, 1220, 1089, 898, 694 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{29}H_{32}O_2 + Na]^+$  435.2295; found 435.2286.

5,7-Di-tert-butyl-1-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-dien-6-one (3ag). White solid: 78.3 mg, 88% yield; mp 168−171  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36– 7.26 (m, 5H), 6.94 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 6.62 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.04  $(d, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 4.08  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 3.94  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 3.86 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 9H), 1.14 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 192.7, 185.6, 163.8, 149.8, 149.5, 138.7, 137.9, 135.3, 130.5, 130.4, 129.0, 128.5, 127.5, 113.9, 55.5, 40.5, 40.0, 39.8, 35.1, 35.0, 29.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2956, 1646, 1600, 1426, 1233, 1167, 1041, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{30}H_{34}O_3 + Na]^+$  465.2400; found 465.2391.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 1-Benzoyl-5,7-ditert-butyl-2-phenylspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-dien-6-one (−)-3af. To a solution of para-quinone methide 1a (14.7 mg, 0.05 mmol) and chiral sulfonium salt (S)-BINS-2f (37.0 mg, 0.07 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mL) was added DBU (11.4 mg, 0.075 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at the 0 °C for 50 h. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Note: silica gel was neutralized by triethylamine before use) eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 50/1) giving the product (−)-3af (15.0 mg, 73% yield, 81% ee,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$  -180 (c 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)). The enantiomeric ratio was determined by Chiralpak IB-3, n-hexane/2 propanol = 99/1,  $v = 1.0 \text{ mL-min}^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda = 260.0 \text{ nm}$ ,  $t_{R}$  (major) = 7.4 min,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  (minor) = 5.2 min.

General Procedure for Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Ring-Opening Reactions of the Spirocyclopropanyl para-Dienone. To a 10 mL Schlenk tube were sequentially added anhydrous  $Zn(OTf)$ <sub>2</sub> (unless otherwise noted, 3.6 mg, 0.01 mmol), para-dienone 3aa (0.1 mmol), and  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.0 mL) under argon. Then, the specified nucleophile (unless otherwise noted, 2.0 equiv) was added to the resulting mixture. After stirring vigorously under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature for the indicated time, the reaction was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), extracted with ethyl acetate (3  $\times$  10 mL), and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel, giving the ring-opening product.

Ethyl 2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-methoxy-3-phenyl Propanoate(4a). Following the above-mentioned general procedure, MeOH (0.5 mL) as the specified nucleophile was used in this case to afford the product 4a (white solid, 36.4 mg, 88% yield, mp 102−103 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.13–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.93– 6.91(m, 2H), 6.74 (s, 2H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 4.53 (d,  $J = 10.4$  Hz, 1H),  $4.32-4.16$  (m, 2H), 3.64 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 1.32–1.28 ppm (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 172.9, 152.8, 139.0, 135.4, 127.7, 127.4, 125.3, 125.2, 86.5, 60.7, 59.5, 57.0, 34.1, 30.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2957, 1732, 1436, 1369, 1238, 1158, 1103, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>. . For X-ray crystallographic analysis of 4a, see the Supporting Information.

Ethyl 2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenoxy-3-phenyl Propanoate (4b). Following the above-mentioned general [procedure,](#page-7-0) [PhOH \(0.2](#page-7-0) mmol) as the specified nucleophile was used in this case to afford the product 4b (light yellow solid, 40.5 mg, 85% yield, mp 101− 103 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.17–7.08 (m, 5H), 6.96– 6.94 (m, 2H), 6.87−6.84 (m, 3H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 5.43 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (s, 1H), 4.35−4.27 (m, 1H), 4.20−4.09 (m, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 1.29–1.25 ppm (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 172.3, 158.0, 153.1, 138.7, 135.6, 129.2, 127.9, 127.5, 126.9, 125.5, 124.4, 121.0, 116.4, 83.2, 60.9, 59.7, 34.1, 30.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2956, 1733, 1597, 1493, 1236, 1159, 1033, 753 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{31}H_{38}O_4 + Na]^+$  497.2662; found 497.2652.

Ethyl 2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-3-(phenylthio) Propanoate (4c). Following the above-mentioned general procedure, PhSH (0.2 mmol) as the specified nucleophile was used in this case to afford the product 4c (white solid, 45.0 mg, 92% yield, mp 102−104 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.28−7.25 (m, 2H), 7.19−7.17 (m, 3H), 7.02−6.99 (m, 3H), 6.92−6.89 (m, 2H), 6.84 (s, 2H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35−4.27 (m, 1H), 4.19−4.11 (m, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 ppm (s, 18H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 172.5, 152.8, 139.8, 135.3, 134.3, 132.9, 128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 126.5, 125.3, 61.0, 57.6, 57.2, 34.1, 30.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U} = 2958$ , 1732, 1587, 1436, 1263, 1153, 1031, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for  $[C_{31}H_{38}O_3S_1 + Na]^+$  513.2434; found 513.2419.

Ethyl 3-Azido-2-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl Propanoate (4d). Following the above-mentioned general procedure, anhydrous  $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$  (0.1 mmol) as catalyst and  $\text{NaN}_3$  (0.2 mmol) as the specified nucleophile were used in this case to afford the product 4d (colorless oil, 40.2 mg, 95% yield); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ = 7.19−7.17 (m, 3H), 6.97−6.94 (m, 2H), 6.73 (s, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37−4.16 (m, 2H), 3.65 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27 ppm (s, 18H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 172.2, 153.2, 137.1, 135.7, 128.2, 128.0, 127.6,$ 125.1, 124.8, 69.2, 61.2, 58.2, 34.2, 30.1, 14.2 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U} = 2958$ , 2105, 1731, 1436, 1238, 1159, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{33}N_3O_3 + Na]^+$  446.2414; found 446.2406.

Ethyl 2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-3- (*phenylamino*)*propanoate* (**4e**). Following the above-mentioned general procedure,  $PhNH<sub>2</sub>$  (0.2 mmol) as the specified nucleophile was used in this case to afford the product 4e (white solid, 41.3 mg, 87% yield, mp 130−132 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.17− 7.11 (m, 3H), 7.07−7.03 (m, 4H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 6.61 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.90−4.79 (m, 2H), 4.23− 4.06 (m, 2H), 3.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 18H), 1.20 ppm (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 172.7, 153.1, 146.9, 140.9, 135.5, 129.0, 128.1, 127.0, 126.9, 125.9, 125.5, 117.4, 113.6, 61.4, 60.9, 58.6, 34.2, 30.2, 14.1 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2958, 1724, 1602, 1435, 1264, 1157, 741, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for [C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  $+ H$ <sup>+</sup> 474.3003; found: 474.2994.

Ethyl 3-(1-Benzyl-5-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4 hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropanoate (4f). Following the abovementioned general procedure, N-benzyl-5-bromoindole (BBI-H, 0.2 mmol) as the specified nucleophile was used in this case to afford the product 4f (white solid, 56.5 mg, 85% yield, mp 163–165 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 4H), 7.16  $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.06-6.97 \text{ (m, 6H)}, 6.88-6.86 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 5.29 \text{ (s,$ 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02−3.96 (m, 1H), 3.92−3.84 (m, 1H), 1.31 (s, 18H), 1.02 ppm (t, J  $= 7.2$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 173.2, 152.8, 141.7,$ 137.3, 135.43, 135.40, 129.5, 128.8, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 126.5, 126.0, 125.9, 125.2, 124.9, 122.3, 117.6, 112.5, 110.9, 60.5, 57.8, 50.2, 47.4, 34.2, 30.2, 14.0 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2958, 1728, 1494, 1436, 1254, 1154, 738, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for [C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>44</sub>Br<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Na]<sup>+</sup> 688.2397; found 688.2381.

General Procedure for the Silica Gel-Promoted Ring-Opening Reaction of the Spirocyclopropanyl para-Dienone. <span id="page-7-0"></span>To a solution of *para*-dienone 3aa (19.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (4.0 mL) was added silica gel (500 mg). The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 18 h. The mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , giving the product 4g.

Ethyl 2-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-hydroxy-3-phenyl Propanoate (4g). White solid: 19.0 mg, 95% yield, mp 145−146  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.18–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.02–7.00  $(m, 2H), 6.74$  (s, 2H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 5.02 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31−4.15 (m, 2H), 3.73 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.27 ppm (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 173.9, 153.0, 141.3, 135.7, 127.8, 127.5, 126.6, 125.8, 125.1, 77.1, 61.01, 59.95, 34.2, 30.2, 14.1 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2954, 1730, 1433, 1213, 1148, 1042, 766, 699 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . For X-ray crystallographic analysis of 4g, see the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the SmI<sub>2</sub>-Mediated Ring-Opening Reaction of the Spirocyclopropanyl para-Dienone. To a 10 mL Schlenk tube were added para-dienone 3aa (0.1 mmol) and THF (1.0 mL). Then, the solution of  $SmI_2$  in THF (0.1 mmol/mL, 2 mL) and t-BuOH (0.5 mL) was dropwise added at −78 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously under an argon atmosphere at −78 °C for 25 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  solution (10 mL), extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  10 mL), and dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel, giving the product 5a.

Ethyl 3-(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropanoate (5a). White solid: 18.3 mg, 48% yield; mp 83–84 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.29–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.19–7.15 (m, 1H), 7.02 (s, 2H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 4.46 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.03 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (s, 18H), 1.09 ppm (t, 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 172.1, 152.2, 144.0, 135.7, 134.1, 128.4, 127.7, 126.3, 124.2, 60.3, 47.2, 41.6, 34.4, 30.3, 14.1 ppm; IR:  $\overline{U}$  = 2925, 1734, 1436, 1372, 1157, 1030, 739, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **HRMS** (ESI):  $m/z$  calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{34}O_3 + Na]^+$  405.2400; found 405.2393.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02725.

Copies of  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra for all new [compounds \(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org)

X-ray crystallographic data for 3aa (CIF)

X-ray crystall[ograp](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02725/suppl_file/jo5b02725_si_001.pdf)hic data for 3qa (CIF)

X-ray crystallographic data for 4a ([CIF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02725/suppl_file/jo5b02725_si_002.cif)

X-ray crystallographic data for 4g ([CIF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02725/suppl_file/jo5b02725_si_003.cif)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: fanchunan@lzu.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no compet](mailto:fanchunan@lzu.edu.cn)ing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21572083, 21322201, 21290180), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (lzujbky-2015-48 and lzujbky-2013-ct02), the State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs (K20150213), PCSIRT (IRT\_15R28), the 111 Project of Ministry of Education of China (111-2-17), and Chang Jiang Scholars Program (C.-A.F.).

#### **REFERENCES**

(1) Baeyer, A.; Villiger, V. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1903, 36, 2792.

(2) For recent selected examples, see: (a) Takao, K.-i.; Sasaki, T.; Kozaki, T.; Yanagisawa, Y.; Tadano, K.-i.; Kawashima, A.; Shinonaga, H. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4291. (b) Barragan-Huerta, B. E.; Peralta-Cruz, J.; Gonzalez-Laredo, R. F.; Karchesy, J. Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 925. (c) Martin, H. J.; Magauer, T.; Mulzer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5614. (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Kang, Q.; Wu, T. R.; Lim, C. S.; Chen, D. Y. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7540. (e) Dehn, R.; Katsuyama, Y.; Weber, A.; Gerth, K.; Jansen, R.; Steinmetz, H.; Hofle, G.; Muller, R.; Kirschning, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3882. (f) Jansen, R.; Gerth, K.; Steinmetz, H.; Reinecke, S.; Kessler, W.; Kirschning, A.; Muller, R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 7739. (g) Weber, A.; Dehn, R.; Schlager, N.; Dieter, B.; Kirschning, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 568. (h) Nguyen, P. H.; Zhao, B. T.; Ali, M. Y.; Choi, J. S.; Rhyu, D. Y.; Min, B. S.; Woo, M. H. J. Nat. Prod. 2015, 78, 34.

(3) For recent selected examples, see: (a) Albertson, A. K. F.; Lumb, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2204. (b) Matsuura, B. S.; Keylor, M. H.; Li, B.; Lin, Y.; Allison, S.; Pratt, D. A.; Stephenson, C. R. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3754.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Larsen, A. A. Nature 1969, 224, 25. (b) Hamels, D.; Dansette, P. M.; Hillard, E. A.; Top, S.; Vessières, A.; Herson, P.; Jaouen, G.; Mansuy, D. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9288. (c) Messiano, G. B.; da Silva, T.; Nascimento, I. R.; Lopes, L. M. X. Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 590. (d) Sridar, C.; D'Agostino, J.; Hollenberg, P. F. Drug Metab. Dispos. 2012, 40, 2280.

(5) For reviews on the chemistry of p-QMs, see: (a) Turner, A. B. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 1964, 18, 347. (b) Wagner, H.-U.; Gompper, R. Quinone Methides. In The Chemistry of the Quinonoid Compounds; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: London, 1974; Vol. 2, Chapter 18, pp 1145− 1178. (c) Peter, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 555. (d) Itoh, T. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26, 1019. (e) Quinone Methides; Rokita, S. E., Ed.; Wiley: Weinheim, 2009. (f) Toteva, M. M.; Richard, J. P. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 2011, 45, 39. (g) Caruana, L.; Fochi, M.; Bernardi, L. Molecules 2015, 20, 11733. (h) Parra, A.; Tortosa, M. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 1524.

(6) For catalytic asymmetric 1,6-addition of p-QMs, see: (a) Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, L.-F.; Bao, X.; Zhao, X.-H.; Zeng, C.; Du, J.-Y.; Zhang, G.-B.; Wang, F.-X.; Ma, X.-Y.; Fan, C.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9229. (b) Caruana, L.; Kniep, F.; Johansen, T. K.; Poulsen, P. H.; Jorgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15929. (c) Lou, Y.; Cao, P.; Jia, T.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, M.; Liao, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12134. (d) Wang, Z.; Wong, Y. F.; Sun, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13711. (e) Dong, N.; Zhang, Z.-P.; Xue, X.-S.; Li, X.; Cheng, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1460. (f) Jarava-Barrera, C.; Parra, A.; López, A.; Cruz-Acosta, F.; Collado-Sanz, D.; Cárdenas, D. J.; Tortosa, M. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 442. (g) Zhao, K.; Zhi, Y.; Wang, A.; Enders, D. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 657. (h) He, F.-S.; Jin, J.-H.; Yang, Z.-T.; Yu, X.; Fossey, J. S.; Deng, W.-P. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 652. (i) Li, X.; Xu, X.; Wei, W.; Lin, A.; Yao, H. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 428.

(7) For very recent examples of nonasymmetric 1,6-addition of p-QMs, see: (a) Ramanjaneyulu, B. T.; Mahesh, S.; Anand, R. V. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3952. (b) Reddy, V.; Anand, R. V. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3390. (c) López, A.; Parra, A.; Jarava-Barrera, C.; Tortosa, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 17684 . For more references cited on this topic, see ref 6a.

(8) For  $[4 + 2]$ -annulations of p-QMs, see: (a) Hatchard, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 3640. (b) McClure, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2365. (c) Roper, J. M.; Everly, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2639. (d) Baik, W.; Lee, H. J.; Yoo, C. H.; Jung, J. W.; Kim, B. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 587. (e) Baik, W.; Lee, H. J.; Koo, S.; Kim, B. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8125. (f) Baik, W.; Lee, H. J.; Jang, J. M.; Koo, S.; Kim, B. H. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 108.

(9) For  $\lceil 3 + 2 \rceil$ -annulations of p-QMs, see: (a) Woolhouse, A. Aust. J. Chem. 1977, 30, 1145. (b) Angle, S. R.; Arnaiz, D. O. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3708. (c) Angle, S. R.; Arnaiz, D. O. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5937. (d) Kim, S.; Kitano, Y.; Tada, M.; Chiba, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 7079.

(10) (a) Li, J.; Liu, G.-L.; Zhao, X.-H.; Du, J.-Y.; Qu, H.; Chu, W.-D.; Ding, M.; Jin, C.-Y.; Wei, M.-X.; Fan, C.-A. Chem. - Asian J. 2013, 8, <span id="page-8-0"></span>1105. (b) Du, J.-Y.; Zeng, C.; Han, X.-J.; Qu, H.; Zhao, X.-H.; An, X.- T.; Fan, C.-A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4267.

(11) (a) Baird, R.; Winstein, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957 , 79, 4238. (b) Baird, R.; Winstein, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963 , 85, 567. (c) Schwartz, L. H.; Flor, R. V.; Gullo, V. P. J. Org. Chem. 1974 , 39 , 219. (d) Arrault, A.; Merour, J.-Y.; Leger, J.-M.; Jarry, C.; Guillaumet, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 2198.

(12) Schultz, A. G.; Taveras, A. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996 , 37, 5853. (13) (a) Kende, A. S.; Hebeisen, P.; Sanfilippo, P. J.; Toder, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982 , 104, 4244. (b) Field, K. W.; Schuster, G. B. J. Org. Chem. 1988 , 53, 4000. (c) Nakabayashi, K.; Inoue, S.; Abiko, Y.; Mori, H. Macromolecules 2013 , 46, 4790. (d) Dao, H. T.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014 , 53, 14382.

(14) For reviews on the chemistry of sulfur ylides, see: (a) Li, A.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Rev. 1997 , 97, 2341. (b) Lakeev, S. N.; Maydanova, I. O.; Galin, F. Z.; Tolstikov, G. A. Russ. Chem. Rev. 2001 , 70, 655. (c) Aggarwal, V. K.; Winn, C. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004 , 37, 611. (d) Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, 41, 937. (e) Li, G.; Wang, L.; Huang, Y. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2013 , 33, 1900. (f) Zhu, C.; Ding, Y.; Ye, L. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 2530. For recent examples of the reaction of ortho-quinone methides with sulfur ylides, see: (g) Chen, M.-W.; Cao, L.-L.; Ye, Z.-S.; Jiang, G.-F.; Zhou, Y.-G. Chem. Commun. 2013 , 49, 1660. (h) Wu, B.; Chen, M.-W.; Ye, Z.-S.; Yu, C.-B.; Zhou, Y.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 383.

(15) During the preparation of this manuscript, a competitive report involving 1,6-conjugated addition-mediated  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & + & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  annulation of para-quinone methides and sulfur ylides has been presented recently: Yuan, Z.; Fang, X.; Li, X.; Wu, J.; Yao, H.; Lin, A. J. Org. Chem. 2015 , 80, 11123.

(16) Crystallographic data for 3aa , 3qa , 4a, and 4g have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as Deposition Numbers CCDC 1438203 (3aa), 1438204 (3qa), 1438205 (4a), and 1438206 (4g). Detailed information can be also found in the Supporting Information .

(17) For details on the preparation of 2e, see the Experimental Section. .

(18) For t[he asymmetric synthes](#page-7-0)is of spirocyclopropanyl para dienones, a centrally chiral sulfonium salt has been preli[minarily tested](#page-3-0) [in very](#page-3-0) recent report by Yao, Lin, and co-workers, but only a trace product (<5% yield) could be detected and the enantioselectivity for this transformation was not mentioned. For details, see ref 15 .

(19) (a) Lu, L.-Q.; Cao, Y.-J.; Liu, X.-P.; An, J.; Yao, C.-J.; Ming, Z.- H.; Xiao, W.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008 , 130, 6946. (b) Lu, L. Q.; Zhang, J. J.; Li, F.; Cheng, Y.; An, J.; Chen, J. R.; Xiao, W. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010 , 49, 4495. (c) Lu, L. Q.; Ming, Z. H.; An, J.; Li, C.; Chen, J. R.; Xiao, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 2012 , 77, 1072. (d) An, J.; Lu, L.-Q.; Yang, Q.-Q.; Wang, T.; Xiao, W.-J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 542. (e) Yang, Q. Q.; Wang, Q.; An, J.; Chen, J. R.; Lu, L. Q.; Xiao, W. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013 , 19, 8401.

(20) The absolute configuration of  $(-)$ -3af was temporarily assigned according to the known mode proposed in ref 19e .

(21) For the further exploration of the synthetic potential of this methodology, recently, Yao, Lin, and co-workers reported one type of ring-opening transformation of the spirocyclic product in the presence of  $p$ -TsOH/H<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature, and the  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketone product was obtained in 92% yield, but without determination of stereochemistry. In addition, the corresponding formal dehydration in the presence of pyridine in re flux was also presented. For details, see ref 15 .

(22) Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. L. L. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 5th ed.; Butterworth Heinemann: Oxford, U.K., 2003. (23) Ratts, K. W.; Yao, A. N. J. Org. Chem. 1966 , 31, 1185.